Journal of Islamic Technology (JITech)
Jurnal Teknologi Islam (JITech)

Islamic Technology: A Paradigm Shift in Science & Technology

Mohammed Rafiq Abdul Kadir
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti of Malaya
Malaysia

Vol. 1, Issue 1 | 2025

KEYWORDS

Islamic, Paradigm Shift, Science, Technology

ABSTRACT

The Fourth Industrial Revolution (IR4.0) has ushered in an era of unprecedented technological advancement, marked by artificial intelligence, blockchain, automation, and big data. However, these developments have also brought complex challenges related to social equity, ethical governance, environmental sustainability, and human dignity. This paper argues that the current secular-technocratic paradigm is insufficient in addressing these multidimensional crises, and proposes an alternative epistemological framework grounded in Islamic values and worldview. Anchored in the principles of *tawhid* (unity), *akhlaq* (ethics), and *amanah* (trust), the proposed paradigm offers a holistic approach to technology development–one that integrates spiritual consciousness, moral responsibility, and societal wellbeing. Drawing on historical contributions of Islamic civilization to science and engineering, the paper explores how a revival of the integrative Islamic intellectual tradition can shape a future where technological progress is aligned with justice, compassion, and ecological balance. Ultimately, the paper calls for a reorientation of science and technology through a value-laden lens that acknowledges the Creator, centers human purpose, and restores equilibrium between man, nature, and knowledge.

Introduction

The Fourth Industrial Revolution (IR4.0) represents a profound transformation in the way humanity interacts with technology, knowledge, and one another. Characterized by the convergence of digital, biological, and physical systems–through advancements in artificial intelligence (AI), robotics, blockchain, and big data analytics –IR4.0 promises unprecedented efficiencies and capabilities. Yet, beneath this technological optimism lies a growing unease. As machines become more autonomous, data more pervasive, and innovation more rapid, the ethical,

This manuscript is adapted from my keynote address delivered at the Brunei Mid-Year Conference and Exhibition 2022 (Brunei MYCE 2022), organized by the Ministry of Primary Resources and Tourism through the Brunei Tourism Board in collaboration with government agencies, statutory bodies, stakeholders and private agencies.

spiritual, and socio-economic dimensions of technology are increasingly sidelined.

Across the globe, the pace of technological disruption has outstripped the moral frameworks needed to govern it. Issues such as mass unemployment(1), environmental degradation(2), digital addiction(3), and the commodification of personal data(4) raise urgent questions about the ends and means of technological progress. In many cases, the tools of IR4.0 have been harnessed not to uplift the human condition, but to intensify existing inequalities(5), centralize power(6), and displace human dignity from the center of innovation(7).

This paper contends that such outcomes are not merely incidental, but symptomatic of an underlying epistemological crisis. At the heart of this crisis lies a secular, materialistic paradigm that views knowledge and technology as value-neutral instruments to be wielded for utility and profit. Detached from metaphysical anchoring, this paradigm fails to provide a coherent vision of ethical responsibility, human purpose, or the common good.

In response, this paper proposes an alternative worldview rooted in the Islamic intellectual tradition—one that integrates reason, revelation, and morality into a holistic framework for knowledge and innovation. By re-examining the foundational concepts of *tawhid*, *khalifah*, *akhlaq*, and '*ilm*, this study aims to contribute toward the formulation of an Islamic technological paradigm capable of guiding scientific development in a way that is just, compassionate, and spiritually conscious.

The Crisis of Modern Technology

While the Fourth Industrial Revolution heralds an era of unprecedented computational power, automation, and hyperconnectivity, it has also laid bare the deep fractures within the modern technological paradigm. At its core, this paradigm is driven by instrumental rationality—where technology is pursued for efficiency, control, and economic gain (8), often without sufficient regard for ethical, spiritual, or social consequences. In the absence of a moral compass, technological advancement risks becoming untethered from the human good it is meant to serve.

Contemporary society faces a paradox: we are more technologically advanced than ever, yet simultaneously more vulnerable to crises generated by our own inventions. Job displacement due to automation(9), rising mental health issues linked to digital overexposure(10), mass surveillance(11), environmental degradation(12), and widening socio-economic inequalities(13) are not accidental by-products, but structural consequences of an epistemology that prioritizes utility over meaning. The current system celebrates innovation but rarely interrogates the values that shape it or the power structures that benefit from it.

Moreover, the deification of technology in popular discourse has created a false sense of neutrality and inevitability. Terms like "disruption," "optimization," and "smart systems" are often used uncritically, masking the profound ethical dilemmas they conceal. The question is no longer can we build, but rather *should we*—yet this crucial question remains underexplored in mainstream policy and education.

Without a value-laden framework, science and technology risk becoming agents of alienation—severing humanity from nature(14), eroding community ties(15), and displacing spiritual consciousness(16). This crisis is not merely practical, but deeply philosophical. It is a crisis of meaning, responsibility, and vision.

Hence, there is an urgent need to reconstruct the foundations of science and technology from a perspective that restores

harmony between the material and the metaphysical, the temporal and the eternal. An Islamic worldview(17-20)—rooted in *tawhid*, balance (*mizan*), and stewardship (*khalifah*)—offers not only a critique of the dominant model, but a pathway toward rehumanizing and reorienting technology in service of the Creator and creation.

Revisiting the Islamic Worldview

At the heart of the Islamic intellectual tradition lies a worldview that is holistic, God-centered, and deeply ethical(17-20). Unlike the secular-technocratic paradigm that separates fact from value, Islam offers a unified vision of reality in which knowledge ('*ilm*), purpose (*maqasid*), and morality (*akhlaq*) are inseparable. This worldview begins with tawhid—the affirmation of Divine Oneness—which shapes not only theology, but also the epistemological and ontological assumptions underpinning science, technology, and civilization.

In the Islamic paradigm(17-20), knowledge is not pursued for domination or control over nature, but as a means to understand and fulfill humanity's divinely ordained role as khalifah (vicegerent) on Earth. The Qur'an repeatedly commands reflection on the natural world (*afala yatadabbarun, afala yanzurun*), but such reflection is never value-neutral. It is always anchored in humility, accountability, and the recognition of divine signs (*ayat*) in the cosmos. Scientific inquiry, therefore, is an act of worship when aligned with intention (*niyyah*) and responsibility (*amanah*).

Furthermore, the Islamic worldview (17-20) maintains that nature is not inert or random but a manifestation of Divine wisdom, created in balance (*mizan*). The disruption of this balance—whether through environmental exploitation, social injustice, or technological arrogance—is a violation of the ethical order embedded in creation. Thus, technology must serve to preserve this harmony, not undermine it.

In Islamic history, this vision was not merely theoretical. It was embodied in the scholarly pursuits of polymaths such as Ibn Sina, Al-Biruni, Al-Khwarizmi, and Al-Zahrawi, whose scientific contributions were not divorced from ethical reflection or metaphysical anchoring. Their work exemplified an integrative model in which reason and revelation, experimentation and contemplation, were not in conflict but in concert (21).

Revisiting the Islamic worldview is not an exercise in nostalgia, but a necessary step toward restoring a principled foundation for science and technology—one that recognizes human beings not as masters of the universe, but as custodians accountable to their Creator, to society, and to the natural world. It is within this framework that a truly transformative technological paradigm can emerge—one that not only innovates, but elevates.

Legacy of Islamic Science and Technology

The Islamic Golden Age (circa 8th–14th century CE) stands as a remarkable testament to the integrative nature of knowledge production within the Islamic worldview. Far from being an age of passive transmission, it was a period marked by rigorous inquiry, methodological precision, and an unwavering commitment to ethical and metaphysical foundations(22,23,24). The scholars of this era did not separate scientific pursuit from spirituality; rather, they saw no contradiction between the laboratory and the prayer mat, the pursuit of empirical truth and the remembrance of the Divine.

Figures such as Al-Khwarizmi, the father of algebra, and Al-Biruni, a pioneer in geodesy and comparative religion, exemplified this synthesis. Their works laid the groundwork for disciplines as diverse as mathematics, astronomy, optics, and medicine—many of

which would later shape the European Renaissance(21). Ibn Sina's *Canon of Medicine* remained a central medical reference in both East and West for centuries, while Al-Zahrawi's surgical instruments and techniques demonstrate the early sophistication of biomedical engineering in Islamic civilization.

These scholars operated within a framework where '*ilm* (knowledge) was considered a sacred trust, and where technological development was evaluated not merely for utility, but for its alignment with justice, social benefit (*maslahah*), and stewardship of creation. Scientific institutions such as Bayt al-Hikmah in Baghdad and madrasahs throughout the Islamic world fostered an intellectual ecosystem that combined rational inquiry with theological reflection(24).

Crucially, the pursuit of science in this context was not abstracted from ethical responsibility. Technologies were developed not in service of unchecked profit or military dominance, but to solve real societal problems—improving health, advancing agriculture, facilitating navigation, and refining craftsmanship—within the bounds of *halal* and *tayyib* (permissible and wholesome). This approach underscores the potential of a paradigm in which technology is not an end in itself, but a tool guided by a higher moral order.

The decline of this integrative model in the post-colonial period—where science was gradually detached from its Islamic epistemic roots—has contributed to the present fragmentation between faith and technology(25). Reclaiming this legacy is not about restoring outdated models, but about reviving the spirit of inquiry, humility, and ethical commitment that defined Islamic scientific excellence.

In drawing upon this legacy, contemporary Muslim societies can forge a new path—one that does not reject modern science, but recalibrates it within a framework that is theocentric, socially conscious, and morally grounded. This revival is not merely aspirational; it is essential for building a future in which technology serves not only efficiency, but equity, purpose, and divine accountability.

Toward an Islamic Technological Paradigm

In light of the epistemological crisis outlined and the historical precedent of integrated scientific excellence within the Islamic tradition, it is both timely and necessary to articulate a contemporary Islamic technological paradigm. This paradigm is not a rejection of science and modernity, but a reconfiguration of how technology is conceived, developed, and deployed—anchored in spiritual consciousness, ethical responsibility, and societal wellbeing.

At the core of this paradigm is tawhid—the unity of God—as the ontological and epistemological foundation of all knowledge(18). Tawhid affirms that all aspects of existence are interconnected, purposeful, and governed by divine wisdom. It rejects fragmentation and the compartmentalization of knowledge that ominates the modern secular-technocratic model. In this view, the pursuit of technological advancement must be harmonized with metaphysical truth, and every scientific act should be infused with the awareness of Divine accountability.

The Islamic technological paradigm also prioritizes *akhlaq* (ethics) as intrinsic, not extrinsic, to the process of innovation(17-20). It promotes *niyyah* (intention) as a prerequisite for any scientific endeavor, ensuring that the motivation for discovery is aligned with *maslahah* (public good) and *rahmah* (compassion). This counters the profit-driven and competitive ethos of current global innovation systems with a framework that asks: *Is this beneficial to humanity and creation? Does it uphold justice and protect the vulnerable?*

Amanah (trust) further defines the moral obligation of technologists as stewards (khalifah) of creation. Technologies that harm the environment, exploit labor, or exacerbate inequality violate this trust. An Islamic paradigm compels us to think beyond "*can we build it?*" to "*should we, and for whom?*"–inviting a moral imagination that is sorely lacking in prevailing discourses.

This paradigm also embraces balance (*mizan*) as a design principle. Technological systems must sustain equilibrium–between human and machine, speed and reflection, utility and meaning. This may manifest in practical frameworks for sustainable design, ethical AI development, or just resource governance, all embedded within the broader ethical scaffolding of the Shariah.

Practically, this means that emerging technologies like artificial intelligence, biotechnology, nanotech, and automation must be critically examined through an Islamic lens–not merely for compliance with halal regulations, but for their broader social, environmental, and spiritual implications. An Islamic paradigm would, for example, encourage AI that enhances human dignity rather than replaces it, or robotics that supports rather than displaces labor, especially among the marginalized.

In essence, this paradigm is not simply about "Muslim-friendly" technology–it is about redefining what responsible and meaningful innovation looks like. It places character before code, wisdom before speed, and justice before efficiency. It challenges Muslim scientists, engineers, educators, and policymakers to lead in shaping a future in which technology reflects not only technical mastery, but also the values of trust, humility, mercy, and interdependence.

Applications in the IR4.0 Context

The Fourth Industrial Revolution (IR4.0) is not merely a phase of technological advancement–it is a redefinition of how we work, interact, govern, and live. Technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, robotics, the Internet of Things (IoT), and synthetic biology are transforming the landscape of society at a pace and scale unprecedented in human history(26). While these innovations carry immense potential, they also risk entrenching inequality, dehumanizing labor, and deepening ethical ambiguity if left unchecked by a principled value system.

An Islamic technological paradigm offers a framework through which these transformative tools can be realigned toward ethical, equitable, and purposeful ends. Rather than approaching IR4.0 as a neutral or purely technical phenomenon, Islam positions it within a broader moral and metaphysical narrative–one that sees humanity as trustees (*khalifah*) of the Earth, and technology as an instrument of service, not domination.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Data Ethics

AI presents both promise and peril. It has the potential to revolutionize healthcare, education, and public services–but it also poses significant risks in the form of surveillance capitalism, algorithmic bias, and social manipulation. An Islamic approach demands that AI systems uphold '*adl* (justice), *amanah* (trust), and *hurmah* (human dignity). Data must be collected and used transparently, with consent, fairness, and accountability, avoiding practices that commodify or exploit individuals, especially vulnerable populations. Furthermore, AI must never be deployed in ways that undermine human agency or perpetuate injustice–even if technically permissible.

Automation and the Ethics of Labor

As robotics and automation reshape the global workforce, the Islamic paradigm urges a rethinking of labor not just as economic output, but as a means of human fulfillment, responsibility, and contribution to the common good. While Islam does not reject automation, it calls for consideration of the social consequences–especially on the poor and working class. Any displacement must be matched with efforts in upskilling, economic inclusion, and ethical redistribution, preserving *karamah insaniyyah* (human dignity) in all stages of production.

Blockchain and Decentralized Trust

Blockchain technology offers a revolutionary method for building trust, transparency, and traceability–values that deeply resonate with Islamic ethical principles. In fields such as halal supply chains, charitable giving (*waqf* and *zakat*), and ethical finance, blockchain can be leveraged to reduce corruption, increase accountability, and empower communities. It aligns with the Islamic emphasis on honest transactions, clear contracts, and transparency in wealth distribution.

Biotechnology and Human Boundaries

The rise of gene editing, neuro-enhancement, and synthetic biology invites profound questions about the limits of human alteration. Islam affirms the principle of fitrah–the natural disposition and balance of creation–and urges caution when intervening in biological systems. Ethical biotechnology must respect the sanctity of life, ensure *maslahah* (benefit) without *mafsadah* (harm), and be subject to rigorous ethical scrutiny rooted in Shariah objectives (*maqasid al-shariah*).

Smart Cities and Environmental Stewardship

As cities grow increasingly automated and interconnected, questions of sustainability, equity, and inclusion become critical. Islam's concept of *mizan* (balance) and *taskhir* (responsible use of resources) compels urban planning that is not only efficient, but also just and ecologically conscious. Smart technologies should be harnessed to minimize waste, enhance public welfare, and protect the rights of all inhabitants–including the environment.

In short, IR4.0 technologies are not inherently good or evil–they are tools. The Islamic technological paradigm provides a moral compass to guide how these tools are imagined, developed, and applied. It invites Muslim thinkers, scientists, and entrepreneurs to reclaim leadership not only in innovation, but in shaping the ethics, policies, and visions that will define the future of humanity.

Reflection on Cosmic Perspective

In our pursuit of innovation and mastery over matter, we must not lose sight of a central Qur'anic truth: that human knowledge is limited, and our place in the cosmos is one of both privilege and humility. The Qur'an reminds us, "And of knowledge, you have been given but little" (Surah Al-Isra', 17:85), a verse that tempers the arrogance that can emerge from technological prowess with a call to epistemic humility.

The universe in its vastness is not merely a domain to be conquered or decoded, but a sign (*ayat*) of the Divine–a living revelation that invites contemplation, awe, and submission. Every layer of creation, from subatomic particles to galactic systems, reflects the order (*mizan*), purpose (*hikmah*), and mercy (*rahmah*) of the Creator. As human beings develop tools to model, simulate, and manipulate nature, we

must do so not with the illusion of absolute control, but with a deep sense of interdependence and stewardship.

The Qur'an often invites reflection on the heavens and the earth not for the sake of curiosity alone, but to awaken gratitude, mindfulness, and a sense of responsibility. "*Do they not look at the sky above them–how We have built it and adorned it, and it has no flaws*?" (Surah Qaf, 50:6). This cosmic perspective recalibrates our technological ambitions, reminding us that while we are endowed with reason and creativity, we are ultimately servants of the Creator, not gods of creation.

In this light, the ethical missteps of modern science–when technology becomes a vehicle for exploitation, surveillance, or environmental destruction–are not simply policy failures, but spiritual dislocations. They reflect a worldview that has removed transcendence from the equation of progress, reducing nature to a resource and humans to consumers.

An Islamic paradigm insists that any technological advancement must be accompanied by a moral and metaphysical grounding. It requires that we continually ask: *What kind of world are we building? Who benefits from this innovation? What are the unseen costs? And does it bring us closer to justice, balance, and the remembrance of God?*

Ultimately, a reflection on the cosmos is a reflection on ourselves–our limitations, our potential, and our ultimate accountability. If IR4.0 is to be meaningful, it must be framed not only by computational power and machine intelligence, but also by spiritual insight and moral vision. Only then can technology be truly transformative–not just in function, but in purpose.

Conclusion and Way Forward

The accelerating pace of the Fourth Industrial Revolution has brought humanity to a critical juncture–where the power to shape the future of civilization is no longer limited by technological means, but by ethical imagination and moral will. This paper has argued that the prevailing secular technocratic paradigm is insufficient to address the deep structural, ethical, and spiritual crises that accompany modern technological advancement. Without a values-based framework, innovation risks becoming an engine of alienation, inequality, and unsustainability.

Drawing from the rich intellectual heritage of Islamic civilization, this paper has proposed a paradigm rooted in tawhid, akhlaq, amanah, and khalifah–principles that center technology not merely as a tool of efficiency, but as an extension of ethical responsibility and divine trust. The legacy of Islamic science offers a model of integrated inquiry, where rationality and revelation, experimentation and ethics, are harmonized in pursuit of a higher purpose.

By revisiting this paradigm in the context of IR4.0, we have outlined how emerging technologies– such as AI, blockchain, robotics, and biotechnology–can be critically examined and constructively redirected to serve the common good. Applications grounded in Islamic ethics not only guard against moral excess, but offer proactive pathways for responsible innovation, social equity, and ecological balance.

The way forward demands more than theoretical articulation; it requires institutional realignment, curriculum reform, and the active participation of scholars, technologists, policymakers, and communities. It calls for the reclamation of Muslim intellectual leadership in global science and technology discourse–one that does not merely consume innovation, but shapes its trajectory.

Above all, it requires a return to God-consciousness in knowledge–where humility tempers ambition, where service overrides ego, and where every discovery becomes an act of gratitude and stewardship. In doing so, we do not abandon modern science; we reclaim it, reframe it, and repurpose it in the service of a just, compassionate, and spiritually grounded future.

References

- Yuri Lima, Carlos Eduardo Barbosa, Herbert Salazar dos Santos, Jano Moreira de Souza. (2021). Understanding Technological Unemployment: A Review of Causes, Consequences, and Solutions. Societies, 11(2), 50. *https://doi.org/10.3390/soc11020050*

- Chinedu Alex Ezeigweneme, Chibuike Daraojimba, Olawe Alaba Tula, Abimbola Oluwatoyin Adegbite, Joachim Osheyor Gidiagba. (2024). A Review of Technological Innovations and Environmental Impact Mitigation. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 21(1), 75–82. *https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.1.2687*

- Keya Ding, Yining Shen, Qianming Liu, Hui Li. (2023). The Effects of Digital Addiction on Brain Function and Structure of Children and Adolescents: A Scoping Review. Healthcare, 12(1), 15. *https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare12010015*

- Maria Bottis, George Bouchagiar. (2018). Personal Data v. Big Data: Challenges of Commodification of Personal Data. Open Journal of Philosophy, 8(3), 364-379. *https://doi.org/10.4236/ojpp.2018.83015*

- Anran Xiao, Zeshui Xu, Marinko Skare, Yong Qin, Xinxin Wang. (2024). Bridging the Digital Divide: The Impact of Technological Innovation on Income Inequality and Human Interactions. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 11(1), Article 809. *https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-03307-8*

- *Hammes, T. X., & DiEuliis, D. (2020). Contemporary Great Power Technological Competitive Factors in the Fourth Industrial Revolution. National Defense University. https://digitalcommons.ndu.edu/wmdcenter-books/13*

- Teo, S. A. (2023). Human Dignity and AI: Mapping the Contours and Utility of Human Dignity in Addressing Challenges Presented by AI. Law, Innovation and Technology, 15(1), 241–279. *https://doi.org/10.1080/17579961.2023.2184132*

- Powell, A. B., Lehuedé, S., Ustek-Spilda, F., & Shklovski, I. (2022). Addressing Ethical Gaps in 'Technology for Good': Foregrounding Care and Capabilities. Big Data & Society, Article 20539517221113774. *https://doi.org/10.1177/20539517221113774*

- Frey, C. B., & Osborne, M. A. (2017). The Future of Employment: How Susceptible Are Jobs to Computerisation? Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 114, 254–280. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2016.08.019

- Marciano, L., Ostroumova, M., Schulz, P. J., & Camerini, A.-L. (2022). Digital Media Use and Adolescents' Mental Health During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Frontiers in Public Health, 9, 793868. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.793868

- Murray, D., Fussey, P., Hove, K., Wakabi, W., Kimumwe, P., Saki, O., & Stevens, A. (2022). The Chilling Effects of Surveillance and Human Rights: Insights from Qualitative Research in Uganda and Zimbabwe. Journal of Human Rights Practice, 16(1), 397–417. https://doi.org/10.1093/jhuman/huac007

- Othman, N., Hewage, R. S., & Pyeman, J. (2022). Impact of Technological Advancements on Environmental Degradation: Evidence from ASEAN. Environment-Behaviour Proceedings Journal, 7(19), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.21834/ebpj.v7i19.3671

- (Anran Xiao, Zeshui Xu, Marinko Skare, Yong Qin, Xinxin Wang. (2024). Bridging the Digital Divide: The Impact of Technological Innovation on Income Inequality and Human Interactions. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 11(1), Article 809. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-03307-8

- Beery, T., et al. (2023). Disconnection from Nature: Expanding Our Understanding of Human–Nature Relations. People and Nature, 5(2), 470–488. https://doi.org/10.1002/pan3.10451

- Vriens, E., & van Ingen, E. (2017). Does the Rise of the Internet Bring Erosion of Strong Ties? Analyses of Social Media Use and Changes in Core Discussion Networks. New Media & Society, 19(9), 1346–1364. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444817724169

- Fernández-Borsot, G. (2023). Spirituality and Technology: A Threefold Philosophical Reflection. Zygon: Journal of Religion and Science, 58(1), 6–22. https://doi.org/10.1111/zygo.12835

- *Al-Ghazali. (2000). Ihya' Ulum al-Din [The Revival of the Religious Sciences] (M. Mahdi al-Sharif, Trans.). Dar Al Kotob Al Ilmiyah.*

- *Al-Faruqi, I. R. (1982). Al-Tawhid: Its Implications for Thought and Life. International Institute of Islamic Thought.*

- *Qutb, S. (2003). Basic Principles of the Islamic Worldview (R. David, Trans.). Islamic Publications International.*

- *Izutsu, T. (2002). God and Man in the Qur'an. Islamic Book Trust.*

- *Alkhateeb, F. (2017). Lost Islamic History: Reclaiming Muslim Civilisation from the Past. Hurst Publishers.*

- *Starr, S. F. (2023). The Genius of Their Age: Ibn Sina, Biruni, and the Lost Enlightenment. Oxford University Press.*

- *Al-Khalili, J. (2012). Pathfinders: The Golden Age of Arabic Science. Penguin Books.*

- *Bennison, A. K. (2010). The Great Caliphs: The Golden Age of the 'Abbasid Empire. Yale University Press.*

- *Nematollahi, M. (2024). Islamic and Scientific Epistemology: A Comparative Study. Al-Qudwah, 2(4), 48–60.*

- *Schwab, K. (2017). The Fourth Industrial Revolution. Crown Publishing Group.*